

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/827,141	DAVIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci.

(2) Arthur G. Yeager.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 21 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Prior Art and 35 USC 112 rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 11/17/05

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior Art of Record


Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the claims and specification, and cancel claims 2, 4, and 6, as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of pages 3-5 of the specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)